

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROY WINDOM	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	No. 23-1240
	:	
J. RIVELLO, et al.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 31st day of March, 2025, upon consideration of Petitioner Roy Windom's pro se Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for Writ of Habeas Corpus, the amendment to the petition, Windom's memorandum, the Commonwealth's response, and Windom's reply; after review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Carol Sandra Moore Wells and Windom's objections thereto; and for the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum, it is ORDERED:

1. Windom's Motions for Expansion of the Record (ECF Nos. 26, 27) are DENIED;
2. Windom's "Motion for Permission to Leave to File Amended Objections" (ECF No. 29) and Second Motion to Amend/Supplement Objections (ECF No. 31) are GRANTED insofar as the Court has considered the substance of the amended objections;
3. Windom's Objections to the Report and Recommendation (ECF Nos. 28, 29, 30, 31) are OVERRULED;
4. The Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 25) is APPROVED and ADOPTED;
5. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DENIED without an evidentiary hearing;
6. Windom having failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right, a certificate of appealability shall not issue; and
7. Windom's Motion for Status/Docket (ECF No. 32) is DISMISSED as moot.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Juan R. Sánchez
Juan R. Sánchez, J.